Signal49 RESEARCH
In partnership with
Future Skills Centre Centre des Compétences futures

Indigenous Export Readiness in Canada

Key Findings and Recommendations

What actually enables firms to cross borders

Indigenous entrepreneurship in Canada has expanded rapidly over the last two decades. The number of Indigenous-owned businesses has more than tripled, spanning sectors from cultural industries and professional services to natural resources, tourism, manufacturing, and technology.

Despite this growth, Indigenous small and medium-sized enterprises (SMEs) remain underrepresented in export markets. This gap does not reveal a lack of ambition or creativity. Instead, it reflects how export readiness gets defined, assessed, and supported.

Drawing on interviews and case studies from across Canada, this research shows that export readiness depends on systems, not just individual businesses. It explains why many Indigenous firms can export but are not recognized as ready—and what support organizations can do differently.

Export readiness is defined by others

Export readiness is not something firms declare for themselves. In practice, readiness is judged by buyers, lenders, trade agencies, insurers, and intermediaries. These actors look for evidence that a business can deliver reliably, withstand volatility, and manage risk. Traditional indicators—such as completing training programs or developing export plans—rarely move firms across that threshold on their own.

This dynamic explains why many Indigenous SMEs participate extensively in entrepreneurship programming yet still struggle to access international trade opportunities. The gap is not knowledge; it is validated proof of performance.

The 3Ds: How readiness is actually assessed

Across sectors and regions, the research found a consistent logic behind export decisions, summarized as the "3Ds":

- ***Demonstration*** refers to observable proof that a firm can deliver—fulfilled contracts, regulatory compliance, repeat customers, or successful pilot sales.
- ***Durability*** captures confidence that exporting activity can be sustained over time, even in the face of demand shocks, leadership changes, or supply disruptions.
- ***De-risking*** involves mechanisms that reduce uncertainty for partners, such as trusted intermediaries, export financing, insurance, or shared logistics and compliance systems.

While these elements appear differently depending on business model and sector, together they form the real export readiness test used by external partners.

Indigenous export pathways are not linear

The study also challenges the assumption that firms progress neatly from “not ready” to “ready.” Indigenous exporters follow multiple pathways. Some respond to unexpected international demand and build capacity as they go. Others embed exporting into their business model from the outset, particularly in digital services or cultural industries. Still others participate in export markets through cooperatives or community-owned enterprises, where readiness exists at the system or institutional level, not within a single firm.

These pathways show that many Indigenous businesses become export-ready by exporting, rather than exporting only after being deemed ready.

Context matters: Governance, geography, and business models

Export readiness looks different depending on ownership structures and operating environments. Community-owned enterprises often prioritize stability, employment, and long-term benefit over rapid scaling. Cultural and service-based exporters demonstrate readiness through ongoing relationships rather than volume growth. Northern and remote businesses face higher costs and regulatory complexity, making shared infrastructure and intermediaries essential.

Uniform readiness criteria often fail to recognize these realities, unintentionally excluding firms that are viable exporters on their own terms.

What export-support organizations can do differently

Increasing Indigenous export participation requires system alignment, not simply more programming. Key shifts could include:

- **Separating training from readiness assessment**, so that skills development is not equated with external credibility.
- **Supporting structured pilot transactions**, giving firms opportunities to demonstrate performance through small, real export deals.
- **Adopting differentiated readiness frameworks** that reflect sector, governance, and business model differences.
- **Investing in Indigenous-led intermediaries and long-term relationships**, which are critical for durability and trust.
- **Improving coordination across the ecosystem**, with clear referral pathways linking training, financing, and trade promotion.
- **Redesigning trade missions** to focus first on risk clarification and staged engagement, rather than immediate sales.

For the full research, see our impact paper *The 3Ds of Indigenous Export Readiness: Demonstration, Durability, and De-risking in Practice*.

In partnership with:

Funded by the Government of Canada's Future Skills Program

This research was prepared with financial support provided through the Government of Canada’s Future Skills Program.